

- Anderson MS & Steneck NH (Eds) (2010). International research collaborations : Much to be gained, many ways to get in trouble. New York: Routledge.
- Aschwanden, C (2005). Seeking an international dialogue on research integrity. *Cell* **131**: 9-11.
- Bland J (2012). A ciência como um empreendimento aberto. Revista Pesquisa FAPESP, 200. Available at <http://revistapesquisa.fapesp.br/2012/10/11/a-ciencia-como-um-empreendimento-aberto/>
- Boesz C & Lloyd N (2008). Collaborations: Investigating international misconduct. *Nature* **452**: 686-687.
- Brazilian Meeting on Research Integrity, Science and Publication Ethics (BRISPE) (2010/2012). Available at <http://www.ibrispe.coppe.ufrj.br/port.php>
- Brumfiel G (2008). Turkish physicists face accusations of plagiarism. *Nature* **449**: 8.
- Coimbra CEA (1996). Plágio em ciência [Plagiarism in science]. *Cad Saúde Pública* **12**: 440-441.
- Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). Available at <http://www.publicationethics.org/>
- Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (2011). Diretivas sobre Integridade na Pesquisa. Available at <http://www.cnpq.br/documents/10157/a8927840-2b8f-43b9-8962-5a2ccfa74dda>
- Council of Graduate Schools. Responsible Conduct of Research Initiatives. Available at <http://www.cgsnet.org/Default.aspx?tabid=123>
- Council of Science Editors (CSE) (2006). CSE's White Paper on Promoting Integrity in Scientific Journal Publications. Available at http://www.councilscienceeditors.org/editorial_policies/whitepaper/3-2_international.cfm
- CrossRef (2008). Crosscheck plagiarism screening service launches today. Available at http://www.crossref.org/crweblog/2008/06/crosscheck_plagiarism_screenin.html
- Elsevier (2008). Elsevier to contribute 9 million articles to CrossCheck. Available at http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/authored_newsitem.cws_home/companynews05_00
- ESF-ORI First World Conference on Research Integrity (2007). Available at <http://www.esf.org/index.php?id=4479>
- Esteves B (2011). Má conduta em foco. *Revista Piauí*. Available at <http://revistapiaui.estadao.com.br/blogs/questoes-da-ciencia/geral/ma-conduta-em-foco>

European Science Foundation. ESF Member Organisation Forum on Research Integrity. Available at <http://www.esf.org/activities/mo-fora/research-integrity.html>

Fang FC, Steen RG, Casadevall A (2012). Misconduct accounts for the majority of retracted scientific publications. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**: 17028-17033 .

Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) (2011). Código de Boas Práticas Científicas. Available at <http://www.fapesp.br/boaspraticas/>

InterAcademy Council (2012). Responsible Conduct in the Global Research Enterprise. Available at <http://www.interacademycouncil.net/24026/28250.aspx>

Joint Statement on Research Integrity (2010). Available at <http://www.iibrispe.coppe.ufrj.br/index.php/jointstatement>

Kuzma J & Besley JC (2008). Ethics of risk analysis and regulatory review: From bio- to nanotechnology. *Nanoethics* **2**: 149–162.

LaFollette MC (2000). The evolution of the "scientific misconduct" issue: A historical overview. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* **224**: 211–215.

Marcus A & Oransky I (2011). Science Publishing: The paper is not sacred. *Nature* **480**: 449-450.

Marshall, E (2000). Scientific misconduct—How prevalent is fraud? That’s a million-dollar question. *Science* **290**: 1662–1663.

Martinson BC, Anderson MS, Crain LA, De Vries R (2006). Scientists' perceptions of organizational justice and self-reported misbehaviours. *J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics* **1**: 51–66.

Martinson BC, Anderson MS, de Vries R (2005). Scientists behaving badly. *Nature* **435**: 737–738.

Marusic A, Bosnjak L, Jeroncic A (2011). A systematic review of research on the meaning, ethics and practices of authorship across scholarly disciplines. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e23477.

Merton RK (1968). The Matthew effect in science. *Science* **57**: 68-72.

Merton RK, Sztompka P (1996). On social structure and science. University of Chicago Press, 1996.

Nature, News (2010). Plagiarism plagues India's genetically modified crops. *Nature* Available at <http://www.nature.com/news/2010/100929/full/news.2010.503.html>

Office for Research. Compliance, Training, and Research Policies. University of Virginia. Available at <http://www.healthsystem.virginia.edu/internet/research/training-compliance.cfm>

Office of Research Integrity (2007). *Newsletter 15*: 4.
Available at http://ori.dhhs.gov/documents/newsletters/vol15_no4.pdf

OSTP (Office of Science and Technology Policy) (2000). Research misconduct: a new definition and guidelines for federal research agencies. *Federal Research Misconduct Policy 65*: 76260-76264.

Park C (2003). In other (people's) words: Plagiarism by university students—literature and lessons. *Assess Eval High Educ 28*: 5.

Roland M (2007). Who is responsible? Supervisors and institutions need to focus on training in the responsible conduct of research and change the culture in the laboratory. *Embo Rep 8*: 706–711.

Russo G (2008). International guidelines for ethics in graduate research are a good idea — but coordination and implementation will be challenging. *Nature 456*: 135.

Sismondo S (2007). Ghost management: How much of the medical literature is shaped behind the scenes by the pharmaceutical industry?. *PLoS Medicine 4*: e286.

Steneck NH & Myer T (Eds) (2007). Final report to the ESF and ORI first world conference on research integrity: fostering responsible research. Lisbon, Portugal.

Steneck NH (2000). Assessing the Integrity of Publicly Funded Research. Proceedings of the First ORI Research Conference on Research Integrity. Available at http://ori.hhs.gov/documents/proceedings_rri.pdf

The 2nd World Conference on Research Integrity (2010).
Available at <http://www.wcri2010.org/>

The 3rd World Conference on Research Integrity (2013).
Available at http://www.wcri2013.org/overview_e.shtml

The National Academies Press. On Being a Scientist: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in Research. Available at http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12192

The Royal Society (2010). The Scientific Century.
Available at <http://royalsociety.org/policy/publications/2010/scientific-century/>

The Royal Society, Knowledge, Networks and Nations (2011).
Available at <http://royalsociety.org/policy/projects/knowledge-networks-nations/report/>

The Singapore Statement on Research Integrity (2010).
Available at <http://www.singaporestatement.org/>

University of Washington. Responsible Conduct of Research Training. Available at <http://www.washington.edu/research/main.php?page=rcrU>

Vasconcelos SMR (2007). O plágio na comunidade científica: questões *culturais* e linguísticas. *Ciência & Cultura* **59**: 4-5.

Vasconcelos SMR, Sorenson MM, Costa, LOO, Pinto, AL, and Leta J (2009). Discussing plagiarism in Latin American science: Behind the times? *Embo Rep* **4**: 677–682.

Wager E & Kleinert S [on behalf of the COPE Council] (2012). Cooperation between research institutions and journals on research integrity cases: guidance from the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). Available at http://publicationethics.org/files/Research_institutions_guidelines.pdf

Wager L, Williams P (2011). Why and how do journals retract articles? An analysis of Medline retractions 1988–2008. *J Med Ethics* **37**: 567-570.

Weber-Wulff D (2012). The spectre of plagiarism haunting Europe. BBC News, 25 Jul. Available at <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/18962349>

Xin H (2006) Scientific misconduct: Scandals shake Chinese science. *Science* **312**: 9.